

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/809,793 | 03/25/2004 | John Tesar | T0450.70036US00 | 7139 |
| 7590 10/18/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. | | | DINH, JACK | |
| 600 Atlantic Av | | | | |
| Boston, MA 0 | 2210 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2873 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application I | No. Applicant(s | ;) |
|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|
| | | 10/809,793 | TESAR ET | AL. |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Jack Dinh | 2873 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | ication appears on the co | ver sheet with the corresponden | ice address |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (| ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IAILING DATE OF THIS of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, I nunication. atutory period will apply and will ex will, by statute, cause the application. | COMMUNICATION. nowever, may a reply be timely filed pire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of on to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13 | of this communication. 33). |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <u>27 <i>July</i> 2005</u> . | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This action is non- | final. | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition | for allowance except for | formal matters, prosecution as | to the merits is |
| | closed in accordance with the practi | ce under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> | e, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | |
| 4) 🖾 | Claim(s) 1-73 is/are pending in the a | application. | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>33-49</u> is/ai | e withdrawn from consid | leration. | |
| 5) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8)🖾 | Claim(s) <u>1-32 and 50-73</u> are subject | to restriction and/or elec | ction requirement. | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a) accepted or b) | objected to by the Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any obje | ction to the drawing(s) be h | eld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 | ɔ̃(a). |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | the correction is required i | f the drawing(s) is objected to. See | : 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to | by the Examiner. Note | the attached Office Action or fo | m PTO-152. |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority | documents have been re | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation | • | s have been received in this Nat 7.2(a)). | tional Stage |
| * \$ | See the attached detailed Office action | n for a list of the certified | copies not received. | |
| | | | | |
| Attachmen | • • | | 67 | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P | 4) (TO-948) | Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 1005 | |
| 3) 🔲 Inform | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date | PTO/SB/08) 5) | Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: <u>DETAILED ACTION</u> . | on (PTO-152) |

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-32 and 50-73, in the reply filed on 07/27/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Upon further consideration, the elected Group I is further restricted as follow:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group IA, claims 1-20, is directed to a species of lens assembly comprising, in order from the object side to an image side, a first lens, a first meniscus lens, a second meniscus lens, an aperture stop, a fourth lens, a bi-convex lens; Group IB, claims 21-32, is directed to a species of lens assembly adapted to provide a field of view, vignetting, and distortion of the image; Group IC, claims 50-66, is directed to a species of lens assembly wherein the first parameter of the first lens arrangement may be changed while maintaining the parameters of the second lens arrangement within a desired range so that the image characteristic is maintained within the range of acceptable image characteristics; Group ID, claims 67-73, is directed to a lens assembly wherein each lens element having a lens surface defined by a radius of curvature, a thickness, an index of refraction, and a dispersion, wherein the lens assembly satisfies at least one of the claimed conditions.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,793

Art Unit: 2873

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Neil P. Ferraro on 10/12/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,793

Art Unit: 2873

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-2327. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack Dinh